

## About the Authors

Associate Professor Daniel Ghezelbash is the Director of the Kaldor Centre for International Refugee Law at UNSW Sydney, and an Australian Research Council (ARC) Discovery Early Career Researcher Award (ECRA) Fellow. He is an internationally recognised scholar of international and comparative refugee and migration law. His ARC DECRA Fellowship examines comparative practices to develop recommendations for improving the fairness and efficiency of Austrania's asy procedures. He is the founder of the Kaldor Centre Data Lab, through which he has pioneered computational and datariven approaches to studying administrative and j Senior Research

Social Law and Social Policy in Munich. His main research projects focus on respestiability hanisms and reforms in the asylum context. He was deeply involved in the Swiss asylum rms, including as part of the pilot phase evaluation team at the Swissocetaxpertise in an Rights at the University Boern, and then subsequently as the Head of the Protection artment at the Swiss Refugee Council (2014) which served as the Single Point of Contact (UNHCR) in Nuremberg and Geneva (200144).

Acknowledgements

# Contents

Acronyn	NS	1	
Definitio	ons	1	
Executiv	veSummary	2	
Recomn	nendations	2	
1 Introd	5		
2 The Sv	wiss Model	6	
3 Analys	sis and Key Lessons	8	
3.1	Inclusive JMacoffs นิเอิร์สเอาซีดีเอง Design Pfere 45j /[8398 0 Td11	8 ( )	Tj -0.002
3.2	Learning fronComparative Practices	9	
3.3	Data and Evaluation	10	
3 4	Fairness as Centr		

## **Executive Summary**

Australia s onshore protection system is currently facing significant backlogs. The resulting delays are undermining the integrity of the asylum system, eroding public confidence and causing significant harm and distress to people seeking asylum. Thiscpolirief examines how

# **Embracing Data and Evaluations**

3.

## Ensuring Adequate and Effective Decision-Making Capacity

12. The Australian Government should take a dataven approach to calculating the decision-making capacity and legal assistance needs required for timely decision and for meeting target processing times at Home AffahrsART and theFederal Circuit and Family Court. This approachshould take into account the number complexity of existing cases and the future anticipated caseloads Hould include a plan for the rapid increase of decision-

representatives from the Confederation, the Cantans, local cities and communities in 2013and 2014. It also included regular consultations with civil society in differental nats public campaign for the new asylum procedure in the context of the national referendum on the new law in 2016. The referendum was initiated by the populist Swiss People's Party, which has dominated the migration discourse for more than a decade in Switzerland. In June 6280 feet, cent of participating Swiss votens oursed the new asylum model. This largescale political and societal agreement was a major success and served as a solid basis for blue conflicted new procedure. After the approval of the legislative basis, the broad consultation process continued for the preparatory and initial plementation phase. This cluded the design of the ecessary changes to the ordinances related to the reverse that determination of the exact division of competencies in the new system.

In contrast, recent reforms to Australia s asylum procedures have been made without meaningful opportunities for engagement, consultation or consensible with the refugeectorand other stakeholders. This includes the recent reforms to the onshore protection symbols. It is noted that these reforms did reflect various proposals and concerns raised by the refugee sector, no public or sectowide consultations were carried out in relation to the details of the changes prior to their announcement.

#### Recommendation

1. The Australian Government should take a longm, inclusive and consultative approach to reforming the asylum system. Consultation needs to take place at the early design stage rather than after policies are announced. Early and ongoing consultationd should be out with the refugee sector, refugeed organisations reflecting a diversity of experiences, refugee lawyers, the Administrative Review Tribunal (ART) and federal counties will ensure that reforms are guided by a thorough understanding diverse community needs and experiences and will enhance the quality and legitimacy of reforms.

## 3.2 Learning from Comparative Practices

#### 3.3 Data and Evaluation

The Australian Government could also draw lessons from Switzerland in terms of data collection, transparency and robust evaluations in informing the design of the asylum system and ongoing reform.

The Swiss reforms introduced in 2019 were preceded by two test phases. The first test phase was launched in Zurich on 6 January 2014. The test phase was subject to an evaluation mandated by the Federal Department of Justice and Police. The first intepiontrewas published in February 2015. The evaluation foundhatthe new procedures were economical, faster and qualitatively better and that they enjoyed greater accepte among participants. This was followed by a second pilot in the French-speaking part of Switzerland in 2018, split across the Cantons of Neuchatel and Fribourg to gain insights on interantonal cooperation in the new system.

#### Recommendation

3. Home Affairs should consider trialling proposed reforms to the asylum procedures using a pilot or test phase model, where innovations are tested for a defined period and then subjected to independent evaluation to inform whether they are retained, expanded in expanded in the subject of t

The SEM issues monthly asylum statistics about decinitating and provides data on returns, numbers of people in the system and cooperation between the Federation and the Cantons. It also publishes a yearly report with detailed asylum statistics explains the main figures and puts the numbers into context. Statistics are also collected and published in relation to a variety of indicators reflecting the quality and consistency of decision, including the number and outcome of review applications. This is complemented by ongoing internal data collection and reporting related to the key features of the new procedures.

Developing robust data collection and transparency is essential to the success of any asylum reforms in Australia and would build public confidence in how the asylum process is operating. Public access to detailed data is required to facilitate ongoing at one of the quality and efficiency of decision-making, toprovide an evidence base to evaluate the effectiveness of any new reform and for a reas in need of improvement or reform. This data collection would also affective. Affairs, the RT and the Federal Circuit and Family Court to anticipate and address increases in workload and identify areas in need of additional resources. It is essential that data is collected at a systemic level, tracking and connecting the life cycle of cases through each st illit-6.4 (t)-14(l)3.1 (oad)-12.3 st

conducted by specialised external actors and webtished by the respective ministry and the SEM on their websites.

#### Recommendation

5. The Australian Government should periodically engage independent external experts to undertake evaluations of how the asylum process is operating and should make their reports

deadlines, this must be accompanied by reforms ensuring that all applicants have access to full legal representation from specialist free refugee legal advice providers.

Timelines and procedural deadlines need to be developed in consultation with the Australian refugee sector, applicants, legal service providers, refugee organisations reflecting a diversity of experiences the ART and federal courts. The very short timelines in Switzerland have been criticised as being overly onerous, and reflect the efficiency gains that come from housing applicants in Federal Asylum Centres where legal representatives are ocated. Though a consultation process, the Australian Government could identify what timelines would be fair and appropriate for the Australian context.

A key lesson from the first few years of the new Swiss asylum procedures is the need for adequate guidance to be provided to decisionakers as to which cases to refer to the extended procedure. The 2021 evaluation of the procedures identification degree of inconsistency in the way cases were being streamed in the different regions found that not all cases where further investigation was necessary were being referred to the extended procedurines situation improved with the development of further guidance, including a formal checklist for devaluations.

#### Recommendation

8. Home Affairs should carry out robust consultations with the refugee sector, ledefugee-organisations reflecting a diversity of experiences fugee lawyers, the ART and federal courts to identify fair and appropriate timelines for both the prioritised and extended procedures, as well as to develop criteria for a detailed checklist to guide denistiens in identifying cases that should be transferred to the extended procedures.

### 3.6 System -Wide Perspective

Another hallmark of the Swiss asylum reforms is the focus on a swisterperspective and coordination between the SEM and the Federal Administrative Court. The court was consulted regularly during the reform process. Key to the success of the reforthe coast s willingness to prioritise the review of cases refused through the accelerated procedures. Equally important was the fact that the court s independence was respected. For example gring regular consultations between the ourt and the SEM are limited to a technical exchange on issues like prioritisation, access to files and administrative adaptations of the system.

In Australia, streaming cases for faster decisiaking at Home Affairs will be futile if those cases get held up in backlogs at the new ART or Ftderal Circuit and F C3.1 (e i)-8.9 (f)-1.1 (t)-1.913.2 (ut)-1.1-12

for representation at the ART and Federal Circuit and Family Court, unless the representative determines that the case has no reasonable prospect of success.

Legal service providers in the Swiss system are selected for each asylum region following a public tender and are awarded a contract for five years without stituility of a further expear extension. The long duration of the contracts was deemed crucial for establishing functioning working relations between government officials and legal practitioners. It also faciliting terms term recruiting of experienced lawyers The funding also covers interpreting costs, in recognition of the fact that high-quality interpreting services re essential for legal representatives to carry out their functions.

The Swiss model of financing legal service providers is based on-perfectase model. This model has been criticised by some NGOs working in the sector-fating incentives for lawyers hired by the legal service providers to avoid lodging app@allshis concern was partly addressed by the tender process, which made clear that the case fee must be calculated in a wayershat administrative costs as well as all expenses for possible appeals procedures. However, as the tender process was competitive, there has been an incentive to reduce the number of appeals procedures incorporated the calculation.

#### Recommendation

11. The Australian Government should ensure that funding for specialist free refugee legal advice providers is provided on a longerm basis and is aimed at increasing the overall capacity of organisations (rather thanking provided on a feeper-case model). The funding agreements should include interpreting costs, where these are covered by the relevant state or territory government.

## 3.8 Ensuring Adequate and Effective Decision- Making Capacity

An important component of the Swiss system is the ongoing effort to quantify projected demand on the asylum system and adjust capacity if the number of asylum applications increases. This includes provisions to deal with the regular variations of application of applications as well as a plan for a fast rise in decisionmaking capacity in emergency scenarios. Where additional deciniaters are appointed at the primary decisionaking level in the SEM, this triggers a quantiomatic increase in funding to increasthe capacity of legal service providented informs separate parliamentary procedures for the appointment of additional judges to the Federal Administrative Court. This is accompanied by robust training for new as well as experienced demastions. Similarly, the funding agreement between the SaviGovernment and legal service providers includes an allocation for the training of legal representatives.

#### Recommendation

12. The Australian Government should take a dataven approach to calculating the decision-making capacity and legal assistance needs required for timely decision and for meeting target processing times at Home Affairs, the ART and the Federal circletamily Court. This approach should take into account the number complexity of existing cases and the future anticipated caseload. It should include a plan for the rapid increase of decision-capacity across the system and for increased legsistance to deal with potential future sudden surges in asylum claims. This should be accompanied with robust training for both new and experienced decision-makers, as well as legal representatives, so they can effectively carry out their duties and functions.

#### Conclusion

This policy brief has examined how Australia can draw on lessons from Switzerland in designing asylum procedures that are both fast and fair. The foundational principle underpinning the

recommendations is that	fairness enhances	efficiency.	Attempts	to limit	procedural	safeguards	and

## **Endnotes**

<sup>1</sup> Department of Home Affai(6th) Monthly Update: Onshore Protection (Subclass 866) Visa Processing:May 2024(Report 2024)4 <a href="https://www.homeaffairs.gov.au/reseandh-stats/files/monthlydateonshoreprotection866visa-processingmay-2024.pdf">https://www.homeaffairs.gov.au/reseandh-stats/files/monthlydateonshoreprotection866visa-processingmay-2024.pdf</a>.

- <sup>4</sup> Christine Nixon, Rapid Review into the Exploitation of Australia s Visa **System**, 31 March 2023) 24 (Nixon Review), citing Evidence to Senate Standing Committee on Legal and Constitutional Affairs, Parliament of Australia, 16 December 2022, Question **CHRE 20** sa Processing: Permanent Protection Visa) 1
- <a href="https://www.aph.gov.au/api/qon/downloadattachment?attachmentId=8028564at666833-0df32a9760f7Note">https://www.aph.gov.au/api/qon/downloadattachment?attachmentId=8028564at66883-0df32a9760f7Note that this figure predates the reforms introduced in October 2023 that were aimed at speeding up processing timese belown 15 and accompanying text. While updated median processing times are unavailable, Home Affairs states that [m]ost new applications are now decided almost 8 times faster compared to recent \*Depastment\* of Home Affai(@th)\* Protection\* sas Are for Genuine Asylum Seekers (Web Page, 13 August 2024)
  <a href="https://immi.homeaffairs.gov.au/proteofices.upsas.are-for genuine-">https://immi.homeaffairs.gov.au/proteofices.upsas.are-for genuine-</a>
- <a href="https://immi.homeaffairs.gov.au/proteotions-asylum-seekers.aspx">https://immi.homeaffairs.gov.au/proteotions-asylum-seekers.aspx</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Administrative Appeals Tribunal Migration and Refugee Division Caseload Report: Financial Year to 31 May 202 Report, 2024), 6<a href="https://www.aat.gov.au/AAT/media/AAT/Files/Statistics/MRD">https://www.aat.gov.au/AAT/media/AAT/Files/Statistics/MRD</a> detailedcaseloadstatistics 202324.pdf>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Evidence to Senate Standing Committee on Legal and Constitutional Affairs, Parliament of Australia,25 March 202@uestion AE22137 (Permanent Protection Visa Applicants: Judicial Reviews)1 <a href="https://www.aph.gov.au/api/qon/downloadattachment?attachmentId=369272502-463ba825e76c9dddf0bd">https://www.aph.gov.au/api/qon/downloadattachment?attachmentId=369272502-463ba825e76c9dddf0bd>.

- <sup>21</sup> An independent evaluation from government organisations working in the sector during the first year of the application of the new system raised particular concerns around the funding model for legal assistance, overly accelerated timelines and conditions in Federal Asylum Centres where applicants are held for the duration of the accelerated procedures in Unabhängiger Rechtsarbeit im Asylbereich un Neustrukturierung des Asylbereich anz zu Einem Jahr der Umsetzung (Report, 2020) 613 <a href="https://xrbndnisrechtsarbeitsyl-vsc.ch/wp">https://xrbndnisrechtsarbeitsyl-vsc.ch/wp</a> content/uploads/2020/09/DOSSIER\_Rechtsarbeit\_DE.pdf>.
- <sup>22</sup>It also draws on additional written information and documents provided by the interview subjects before and after the interviews. All protocols relating to these interviews were approved by the UNSW Human Research Ethics Committeeee Approval No HC230007, 20 March 2023.
- <sup>23</sup> Daniel Ghezelbash, FastTrack, Accelerated, and Expedited Asylum Procedures as Tool of Exclusion in Catherine Dauvergne (edlesearch Handbook on the Law and Politics of Migration (Edward Elgar Publishing2021)248.
- <sup>24</sup>This timeframe includes appeal and removal procedures.
- <sup>25</sup>This is a simplified overview that does not include the Dublin procedures implightent Dublin III regulation, which establishes the criteria and mechanisms for determining the plean Union Member State responsible for examining an asylum application lodged in a Member State by a thirdcountry national Regulation (EU) No 604/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 June 20 Establishing the Criteria and Mechanisms for Determining the Member State Responsible for Examining an Application for International Protection Lodge in the Member States by a Third buntry National or a Stateless Person [2013] OJ L 180/31.
- <sup>26</sup> Asylum Act 1998 (Switzerland) art **Asyl**(um Act). At this stage, a triage is also carried out to decide whether or not a Dublin procedure will be initiated.
- <sup>27</sup> An application can be cancelled without a formal decisiont ife(a)pplication cannot be considered an asylum claim according to the Asylum(rA2t), (b) the application is not sufficiently justifiable and/or applicant withdrawst, (c) the applicant fails to cooperate without a valid reason or (d) the applicant fails to make themselves available to the authorities for more than 20 days or formore than 5 days if the rsonis accommodated in a Federal Asylum Centsee generally Swiss Refugee Council Country Report: Switzerland (Report, 2023) 55 <a href="https://asylumineurope.org/graphtent/uploads/2023/06/ACDA2022Update.pdf">https://asylumineurope.org/graphtent/uploads/2023/06/ACDA2022Update.pdf</a>>.
- <sup>28</sup> Asylum Act(n 26)art 37 provides that there is some flexibility to add several days if it is clear that this will make it possible to render a decision in the accelerated procedures.
- $^{29}$  This feedback is provided in a legal representative atement the purpose of which is to identify whether he facts are properly established and the decision will be correct and comprehensible in terms of formality in the merits

Declaration, 28 March 2014) <a href="https://www.sem.admin.ch/dam/data/sem/aktuell/news/2014/2014-0328/erklaerungl-pdf">https://www.sem.admin.ch/dam/data/sem/aktuell/news/2014/2014-0328/erklaerungl-pdf</a>.

<sup>42</sup>For the explanations and material provided by the Federal Council in relation to the public vote, see Federal Council(Switzerland) VotationPopulairedu 5 Juin 2016 (Web Age) <a href="https://www.admin.ch/gov/fr/start/dokumentation/abstimmungen/2016/0605.html">https://www.admin.ch/gov/fr/start/dokumentation/abstimmungen/2016/0605.html</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> See Federal Chancelleryof Switzerland(n 20).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> See nn 15 16 and accompanying text.

<sup>45</sup> See Federal Department of Justice and Police (Switzerland)P), Bericht über Beschleunigungsmassnahmen im Asylbereia(Report, March 2011) 33 <a href="https://www.sem.admin.ch/dam/sem/de/data/aktuell/gesetzgebung/abgeschlossen/asylgaug/ersatznee/berbeschleunigasyl-d.pdf.download.pdf/betreschleunigasyl-d.pdf">https://www.sem.admin.ch/dam/sem/de/data/aktuell/gesetzgebung/abgeschlossen/asylgaug/ersatznee/berbeschleunigasyl-d.pdf</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> Jo Fahy, ExpressAsyl-Verfah0.006 Tc mmwprM(em.wmP-0.001 Tc -0.001 TtS(i)34ap)-6.3 (l)d [(V)g12.3 (em.wmP-0.001 TtS(i)34ap)-6.3 (l)d [(V)g12.3

