531	Understanding tax morale of SMEs: A qualitative study <b>Recep Yücedo ru and John Hasseldine</b> st and Elze Le
587	Effects of tax reform on average personal income tax burden and tax progressivity in Germany under the particular consideration of bracket creep <b>Chang Woon Nam and Ch</b>
	The UK general anti abuse rule: Lessons for Austr(b) 60 >>BDC q 205
719	Filling the land tax void: New Zealand standpoint <b>Ranjana Gupta</b>

### **CONTENTS CONTINUED**

Delineating the fiscal borders of Australia's non-profit tax 741 concessions Natalie Silver, Myles McGregor-Lowndes and Julie-Anne Tarr



766 Does selecting a taxpayer for audit violate civil rights—a critical analysis of the Pakistani High Court's decision? Najeeb Memon and Christian Lorenz



# Business process management as a tax risk identification and management method

Evadne Bronkhorstand Elze Leask

#### Abstract

In the current economic climate, corporate/grnance is a priority for enterpriseglobally. Corporate governance aims to create value for affected stakeholde@me way in which this can be achieved is through risk identification and management. Where risks are properly identified and managed, the threats posed to the achievement of enterprises' strategic goals are mitigated. Tax is one of the aspects that create a need for the implementation of appropriate risk identification and management methods Although prior research has been conducted regarding possible tax risk identification and management methods reprise little is known about Business Procesantagement (BPM) as a taiksk identification and management method. This article contributes by using an exploratory case study to highlight that BPM can be a valuable risk identification and management method within the tax risk aragement allows for risk identification and management of processes that cut across functional linesecause BPn28acrsk

Keywords:

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

During the 1980s and 1990s, tax functions mainly focused on cost management and shareholder value creation. While these objectives remain important, tax risk management is becoming a more significant part of modern day tax functionssis attributable to an increase in tax risk due to an increase in the complexity of the current tax environment. Globalisation, technological innovation and the **-tises** regulatory compliance initiatives of revenue authorities are just some of the factors that have created a more complex tax environment.

Tax risk management has recently been classified as a separate element of corporate governance. Because this is a recent phenomenon, a dearth of empirical research exists on how enterprises rate variouspes of tax risks and how they have incorporated tax risk management intem topemf nnov habeen en(es o)12es s ai o ha

Business process management as a tax

Company A is well known in the global oil and sgadustry. Its headquarter company was founded more than one hundred years ago/ithin the South African environment, Company A operates not only by importing and refining crude oil, but also by importing refined products that can be used directlynwither market. For tax risk identification and management, Company A currently makes use of tax control frameworks and a global tax strategoue to risks associated with intellectual capital and other areas, it was agreed with the national tax management.

Excise taxes were elected as the focus of the case **structives** taxes present one of the biggest tax risks to enterprises in the oil and gas indu**Strips** is attributate to a variety of causes, among others the fact that their main source of profit emanates from excisable products, such as diesel, and the consequential sheer monetary risks that can arise from norcompliance with tax legislationArguably, it would therefore be in the interest of enterprises within this industry to manage their excise tax risks effectively and efficiently.

The key stakeholders in the South African oil and gas industry are: BP Southern Africa, Chevron South Africa, Engen Petroleum, Petros Sasol Oil, Shell South Africa and Total South Africa<sup>1</sup>. Until very recently there were very few non

Apart from PetroSAthe capabilities of the key stakeholders are distributed relatively evenly (refer Table 1). Therefore, it is submitted that each of these stakeholders would be exposed to similar excise tax risks, and any of these stakeholders would therefore be a valid case study subjectmpany A, as one of the key stakeholders in the oil and gas industrycan be regarded as representative of the industry and consequently viewed as a valid case study subject.

4.1.1 Compliance and operational risk in the South African excise tax environment

Within a South African excise tax context, the key legislative framework consists of the Customs and Excise Act No. 91 of 1964 Act), and the relevant Schedules and Rules to the Act.

Table 2: South African Excise Tax Risks of Enterprises in the OI and Gas Industry

Type of risk	Description
	- South African excise taxes are governed by a complex legisl framework which increases this elihood of noncompliance due to incorrect interpretation and application of legislative provisions.

Compliance risk -

Table 3: Steps in the Rsk ManagementProcess <sup><math>29</math></sup>	
Table 3. Oteps in the hist management rocess	

Step	Objective		
1. Risk identification	To produce a comprehensive list of risks		
2. Develop assessment	To develop comv694.628s964966t 0642B022Dhat		
criteria			

Business process management as aislavidentification and management method

Business process management as a tax

expertise surrounding specific risk mitigation processes will be retained within the enterprise.

BPM can be implemented by mapping processes in artoerrod manner. These maps highlight possible gaps within processes which can be indicativiskof Mapping also provides enterprises with a succinct view of the current and desired state of processes, and facilitates focused risk management and resource alfocation.

Another method of BPM implementation that facilitates knowledge management and knowledge sharing is the creation of standard operating procedures (SOPs) that support the visually mapped processes. OPs are implemented to describe the processes, and to assist with role and responsibility assignt Ant.effective SOP will not only describe how policies should be implemented but also describe the details relating to the who, the what, the where, the when and the how.

The use of BPM is not a familiar topic within tax risk management circliesewise, knowledge management, as it relates to taxation, has received little attention. Different areas exist within tax functions that could be impacted by knowledge management, namely: legislative knowledge, administrative knowledge, awareness and correct interretation of tax leet Bin3.41Td [(e6 (4.2 (le)3. ()ivo)15.9 8 d.992(oud.9929

Business process management as aislavidentification and management method

## 5.1 Research process

process flow diagram to identify the relevant function responsible for a specific part of a process. They can then refer the external parties to the function that is in the best position to assist them with their queryBecause the responsible function has a

#### 6. CONCLUSION

The research set out to highlight whether not BPM can be a valuable tax risk identification and management methodThe research results indicated that the implementation of BPM leads to the ability to share knowledge and create a broader view of tax processes.Industry specialist knowledge rcabe easily shared with external parties such as internal auditors, external auditors and the revenue authorities b

# 7. Appendices

Appendix A: Strengths and shortcomings of tax strategies and tax control frameworks

Tax strategy		Tax control framework	
Strengths	Shortcomings	Strengths	Shortcomings
Enables an enterprise to specifically identify those risks that may hamper the achievement of the enterprise'sstrategic goals	Does not focus on operational procedures and risks	Supports the objective of creating an effective, efficient and transparent environment	
	Operational risks may be overlooked	Supports organisational strategy through tax plannin and premitigation of risks	Understates internal controls, because i gnot always possible to view the enti internal control <b>f</b> amework at once
Enables the identification of different groups of risks	Lacks detailed focus which may hamper the achieveme of a function's goals within an enterprise	nFacilitates resource allocation	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> Erasmus, above n 30; Hoyng et al.above n 3022 and 43; Johnston, above n 3tt2; M Leitch, M. Why the COSO FramewoNeeds Improvement (2005)<http://www.irmi.com/expert/articles/2005/leitch04.aspxEechTarget, above n 36</p>

Appendix B: Advantages and disadvantages of  ${\sf BP}{\ensuremath{\tilde{M}}}$ 

Advantages

Increased accountability between functions and individuals Improved reliability of individualsvei (v) tlves