Volume 14, Number 3

December 2016

CONTENTS

531	Understanding tax morale of SMEs: A qualitative study Recep Yücedo ru and John Hasseldine
567	Business process management as a tax risk identification and management method Evadne Bronkhorst and Elze Leask
587	Effects of tax reform on average personal income tax burden and tax progressivity in Germany under the particular consideration of bracket creep Chang Woon Nam and Christoph Zeiner
601	The implementation of informal sector taxation: Evidence from selected African countries Godwin Dube and Daniela Casale
624	The UK general anti abuse rule: Lessons for Australia? Benjamin Kujinga
650	Are Australians under or over confident when it comes to tax literacy, and why does it matter? Toni Chardon, Brett Freudenberg and Mark Brimble
683	Tax policy challenges in an era of political transition: The case of Egypt Mahmoud M Abdellatif and Binh Tran-Nam
707	Developing a sustainable tax base through a financial transaction tax: An analysis of suitability for the New Zealand environment Simoné Pycke, Jagdeep Singh-Ladhar and Howard Davey
719	Filling the land tax void: New Zealand standpoint Ranjana Gupta





Contents continued $^{\prime \text{HOlQHDWLQJWKHILVF1}}$ $^{2}\text{i}\text{P}$ îlõ B-1

Filling the land tax void: New Zealand standpoint

Ranjana Gupta

Abstract

This paper investigates land taxation from a New Zealand perspective and examines the principles of economic efficiency and equity behind three common property valuation methods for taxatimenprimary question is whether using land value as the base owhich to assess property tax remains the most efficient and equitable tax mechanism compared to capital value tax on improvements and annual value tax on estimated income earned from the property aper briefly assesses the challenges confronting variation and the impacts that may arise fromeval of property tax in jurisdictions with different features. While issues exist in the determination of any basis of value, it is asserted however, that there is a need for considering exemption provioeh, which has a significant potential to

Keywords: Land tax Property tax, Equity, Efficiency Exemptions

¹ Dr Ranjana Gupta, Senior Lecturer in Taxation, Faculty of Business and Law, Auckland University of Technology.

The author would like to thank the anonymous referees for critical advice on an earlier draft which significantly improved this paper. Any remaining errors are naturally those of the author.

Filling the Land Tax Void: New Zealand Standpoint

Filling the Land Tax Void: New Zealand Standpoint

2.3 Land value (LV)

Land value or site value (SWa) is a one off tax on the existing wealth in the form of property³¹ and only targets landownersAt the time of sale, a tax decrease will increase the market value of the propertylowing the owner to benieffrom a windfall gain. A tax increase will reduce the value of the property causing a loss borne entirely by the landownelt is a tax on a certain form of wealthor business it is a tax on capital assets and for private owners it essentiallystarget hgs. Those who do not own land will not be impacted directly the tax. However, tax shifting opportunities are available for types properties and industries.For example business owners can pass on part of the tax burden to customersers uppleven employees in the form of reduced benefits or wage cuttpartment owners can increase rents. Ownercupied homsewill bear all the costs.

Adam Smith's canon of economy statbat 'every tax ought to be so contrived as to take out and keeput of the pockets as little as possible, over and above that which it brings into the public treasury of a state To satisfy a cosbenefit analysis, the tax system must be able to raise substantial revenue at a relatively low dost.said that, '[f] or any given tax, the larger the price elasticities of demand and supply, the larger the change in consumption and production herefore, the larger price elasticities of demand and supply are associated with larger deadweight loss

Land tax is atax levied on the unimprover to land value of land (but there are some variations that include improvements to land). Land tax is a cost of owning land, and taxes an immobile factor. In a perfect functioning market with no transaction costs and a field supply of land, the full burden of the tax falls on the landowner at the time the tax is levied. This has been mathematically proven; the new market value for a piece of land is reduced by the take purchaser is compensated for all future tax payments through a reduced purchase price for the fandany attempts by the landowner to increase property price will result in lower demand for the land and excess supply of land. Thuse market price is set by the purchaser rather than on the basis of expenses born by the landowner fixed supply of land ebtes high revenue from low rate.

³¹ Coleman and Grimes, above n 4.

³² Smith, above n 19.

³³ I Vlassenko, 'Evaluation of the Efficiency and Fairness of British, French and Swedish Property Tax Systems' (2001) 1(9) Property Managemer 384, 386.

³⁴ J P Cohen and C C Coughlin, 'An Introduction to Two-Rate Taxation of **baddB**uildings' (2005) 87(3) Federal Reserve Bank of St Louis Rev **369**, 364. Deadweight loss (an excess burden) in economicterms is the measure of inefficiency.

³⁵ The term 'unimproved land values' refers to the value of bare land, that is, exclusive of the value of any manmade structures or improvements.

³⁶ T Dwyer, 'The Taxable Capacity of Australian Land and Resources' (2003) 18 Australian Tax Forum 1, 21,41 '[A] world that is mobile and labour supply is shrinking in line with demographic decline, an immobile tax base is the only tax base which makes economic sense'.

³⁷ W E Oates and R M Schwab, 'The Simple Analytics of Land Value TaxatioR', FinDye and R W England (eds), Land Value Taxation: Theory, Evidence and Pratition Institute of Land Policy, Massachusett 2009) 51 at 71.

³⁸ Tax Working Group, above n **5**0.

Land value taxation is a conceptually sound method because it is theoretically efficient and neutral⁹ Tax on improvements such as capital value taxing co**tile**ctaa landowner's decision to develop property and tax will continue to apply post development and it be a fixed cost to owning land rather than a hindrance to development. The imposition of the tax will still (o)2.7 (t)1p0g10.3Tj 0.005 Tc 0.2do-4. Horizontal equity can simply be defined as the equal treatment of ⁴⁶₄ utilisthe context of a land tax, the system is considered to have achieved the horizontal equity principle if two pieces of land with the same value are taxed at the equal amounts. Assuming that the tax is low rate, broadly applicable, with no exemptions, this goal would be satisfied. However, the assessment of horizontal equity extends beyond a mere equality test to judge how the tax fits within the existinxation systemGiven that a land tax extends the tax base only to one type of wealth, while helping to solve the 'ability to pay' problem, it is discriminatory. Wealth may be stored in many forms, and the principle of horizontal equity requires them to be treated allike introduction of a land tax only taxes wealth stored in the form of land, and thus cannot be said to be equitable. Use the 'ability to preceived fairness'. A broader wealth tax, such as capital gains tax othe real estatemarket⁴⁷ may overcome this probler however it is beyond the scope of this research paper to set out the implications of capital gains tax in New Zealand.

Vertical equity requires the appropriate differentiation of unequal circumstanctes A tax system is considered fairer when a higher burden is paid by those revenoest able to pay. While this principle is desirable in theory, its practical application can be difficult. The justification and definition of who has a better ability to pay is complex and somewhat subjective in the decision generally being made by politicial the tax system through the utilisation of exemptions, reliefs and progressive taxes.

Compliance with horizontal and vertical equity should be synonymous, not alternative. Together they represent the broader principle of equity and essentially represent alternative sides of the same conwithout the appropriate **dif**rentiation of people (through vertical equity measures) orizontal equity is merely a tool to safeguard

3. HISTORY OF PROPERTY TAX IN DIFFERENT JURISDICTIONS

3.1 Australia

Land value taxation is an important sourceaxing at the state and the local government levels in Australia. State tax is imposed on owners of land used for income producing purposes. The Australian local Government Association website shows 563 local authorities that rely exclusively on the landalue tax as ownsource revenue. Australia has a long history of land value taxation which has achieved consistent results.

				. 51.
منامات المعجم اللم الما	tavella a at	امصم منمنهم ممان		
ם וובע החבריי בוחבו	ravation at	nne etate ann	Incal dove	IDVDI TOMOTIC
	ιαλαιιστί αι			

Australian States/Territories	State tax first introduced	StateGovernment Land Tax	Local Government Council Rates
Australian Capital Territory	1987	Unimproved Value	Unimproved Value
New South Wales	1895	LandValue(replacing unimproved value in 1978)	LandValue
Northern Territory	None	None	UnimprovedCapital Value
Queensland	1915	Site Value	Site Value
South Australia	1884	Site Value	Improved Value*
Tasmania	1910	LandValue	GrossRentalValue*
Victoria	1910	Site Value	Improved Value
Western Australia	1907	Site/Unimproved Value	GrossRentalValue*

* The option of assessing council rates on more than one basis across different Local Government Authorities.

The table above, modified from Mangion,⁵² shows land value is taxed at the territories except Queensland which taxes only the raw value of land, excluding levelling and drainage (merged improvements) cal governments have a choice of methods with the exception of Australian Capital Territor prefere is a growing preference for capital value as evidenced by the high number of councils choosing capital value taxation in South Australia and Victor Ia. Tasmania despite the choice of tax methods, rental value is the preferred method.

The evolution of land taxation in New South Wales provides an insight into the challengesconfronting all cities when imposing a land value tax in increasingly urbanised locations. These challenges have resulted in an additional layer of

⁵² Ibid.

⁵¹ V Mangioni, above n 2886.

Land value tax was adopted in New Zealand for the first time in ⁶⁰878 ublic expenditure and immigration contributed to the boom in land value before 1870 and peaked between 1874 and 1876 ming to an abrupt end in 1879. Liberal thinkers believed wealthy landowners had greater taxable ability but a low tax burden compared to the working class who were generating the bulk of government revenue through tariffs. However, when the land values **be**n to fall the counter argument was that it was unfair to tax a group who was receiving no special benefits and whose growth was important to the growth of the economy his tax was repealed a year later by the Property Tax Act 187(9)Z) which taxed cate value only and then a 6 0 11. the New Zealand context is nonetheless pertinent. Therefore, the paper will now consider how the New Zealand environment will affect the implement of a land value tax and its influence on themons of efficiency and equity.

4. A LAND VALUE T AX: SOME NEW ZEALAND CONSIDERATIONS

This section sets out the factors which must be taken into consideration while implementing a land value tax in New Zaerad.

4.1 Lack of tax revenue from property

Cheung observe that a favourable taxation system, immature capital markets, migration patterns and asy credit conditions have made rental property an attractive investment option for New Zealanders. The New Zealand Government under utilises its ability to levy taxes on property. As mentioned earlier, local authority rates, which can be based on land, capital or rental values of properties, been the major source of revenue for local government were called Central government, on the other hand, earns only an estimated central fits total tax revenue from property. This is well below the OECD average, which is not surprising given New Zealand remains one of the last countries with DECD which does not have a comprehensive capital gains tax (CGT), partial CGT exists under Boart CB of the Income Tax Act 2000 Juli its application is rather limited fr 3 (r28]TJ /TT4 1 Tf -4.6 (m)178]T

New Zealand however, has an existing land registry which records essential information in regards to all larid the country⁹² In creating the registry, nearly all land was surveyed, resulting in the records of parcel boundaries being reasonably accurate. Electronic conveyancing improves the operational efficiency and integrity of New Zealand's land register. The preexistence of such registry 2.6 (.674 -1.219 (e)5 ((r)8. ((r)

as water supply, sewerage and drainag $\mathbf{\Theta}$ rdinary rates can vary for different categories of land. 103

4.5 M — orialnd

The existence of Meri Authorities is another unique consideration for the implementation of a land tax in New ZealarM. eri Authorities created in 1939are trustees administering communadity and Meri property—often in the form of land following Treaty of Waitangisettlements—on behalf of the dividual owners¹⁰⁴. The imposition of a land value tax would adversely affect the negotiated settlements. M eri Authorities would be subject to an inequitable and disproportionate share of the tax burden and the monetary value of their land would alls of 0 — R U L I U H H K R O G C is underdeveloped relative to general land, even after taking into account differences

tax.¹⁰⁷ A land value tax is said to be neutral with respect to land use which promotes the development of land to its highest and best¹⁰⁸ Deates and Schwab Bittsburgh study suggests that some cities gain the beneficial effects of greater tax ne**atral**ity land-value taxation alone was not the direct stimulus to the regenerative land uses in Pittsburgh, although it did assist to a lesser degree further, their study of effects of Pittsburgh's tax system on housing development suggests that to induce new construction the property owners whole developed or renovated buildings on their land were not taxed for the first three yeafors the additional value from reconstruction¹¹⁰ The imposition of a land value taxilwbenefit rapid99 (d)104neran i4ne

```
i)8.3 eni g ig(as )11 ().2 (f) r
thegpr wigg er ite)-1.6 (o)10.8 (t)-4.6 os
```

land tax by the national government and collection by the land government will encourage more intensive land use and will result in a higher level of improvements to the land.^{1Attach[2(e)19.8 Tm [(1A)11 (ha)-1.7TJ -0.01i]}

should it be adopted, will need to apply to all investment housing, not just foreignowned property^{1,35} In the author's opinion, implementation of a land value taxnis a impulsive reaction the Aucklandreal estatebubble and could lead to an increased risk of significant political influences hat would translate to exemptions as previously shown by the abolished land value tax in 1992.

Consequently, this study contributes to a call for further inveixing anto whether taxing all effective income from capital (alestatemarket) and stamp duty or transfer tax¹³⁶ payable by the transferee or purchaser at the time of conveyard ingill broaden the New Zealand tax base threat effore overcome the problem of perity and efficiency in the tax system. This points to a promising direction for future research.

¹³⁵ Foreign investors are merely one of the many symptoms of a broader problem of the fiscal privileges enjoyed by landowners.

¹³⁶ The most recent example is Vancouver, British Columbia whitshipposed a 1per centransfer tax on purchases of real property by foreigners.

¹³⁷Land Information New Zealand could act as the agent for collecting the transfer tax or stamp duty.